



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/523,877 03/13/2000		3/13/2000	Peter Warnes	ARC.005A	6131
27299	7590	02/11/2003			
		SOCIATES	EXAMINER		
	T BERNARDO COURT, S D, CA 92127	•	SUITE 375	HUISMAN, DAVID J	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2183	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent anu inademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
	09 523877	March 13, 2000	Warnes	ARC, 005A				
				EXAMINER				
				Dav	id J. Huisman			
	•			ART UNI				
		·		7147	3 11			
				DATE MAIL	ED:			
		INTERVI	EW SUMMARY					
All p	articipants (applicant, applican	nt's representative, PTO personnel):	-	٠.			
1)	David J. Huis	•	(3)					
''— (2)	Robert F. Gaz		(4)					
_	C 1		(4)					
	1	•						
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant papplicant's representative).								
Exhi	oit showń or demonstration co	nducted: 🏿 Yes 🗆 No If yes, b	orief description: Demo	of appli	icant's product pro			
					<u> </u>			
\gre	ement 🗆 was reached. 🗖	was not reached.						
Clair	n(s) discussed: Profised	amendments for clo	1, 12, 14, 17.	£ 23	RFO			
	•	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			20			
uen	ification of prior art discussed	Dec el al., wii.	Taichi Ma. 1177.	100 1	,			
Desc	ription of the general nature o	f what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other	r comments:				
	Discussion of people	used amendments. I	Examiner told appl	licant tha	t the proposed			
	amendments are s	till too broad and	that the official	amendme	nts would require			
	further search and			V 17767 CB 7-11				
	MITHEL TEATUR AND	Unstation 1850			<u> </u>			
nust	ller description, if necessary, a be attached. Also, where no hed.)	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which wo	available, which the examiner ould render the claims allowab	agreed would le is available,	render the claims allowable a summary thereof must be			
	It is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate record of	f the substance of the interviev	N.				
S No	OT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	een checked to indicate to the con LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTI- V.	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP See	ction 713.04), I	If a reply to the last Office			
Yar	giner Note: You must sign this	form unless it is an attachment to	another form					

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)

EDDIE CHAN ENTENT EXAMIN FENTER 210

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filled</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.